

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## RECENT IMPORTANT DECISIONS

AGENCY-NATURE OF RELATION-DISTINGUISHED FROM LEASE.-A lumber company entered into a contract with B, by the terms of which B was to have a so-called lease of the company's sawmill and timber rights for three The rent agreed upon was one dollar, and the net proceeds of the It was also stipulated in the lease that B should account to the company monthly, that he should not dispose of what rights he might acquire by the transaction to third persons, except with the consent of the company, and that in case of his death such rights should not pass to his personal representatives, but should inure to some third person whom he should name. There were other like stipulations restricting B's powers. The lumber company assigned its rights in the contract to the defendant McIntyre, between whom and B it was agreed that B should perform the obligations entered into with the company, and that McIntyre should assume its liabilities there-McIntyre was named by B as his successor in case of death, to all rights under the agreement. In an action for a debt incurred by B in the business against McIntyre, as either the principal or the partner of B, Held, that plaintiff should recover. Petteway v. McIntyre (1902), - N. C. -, 42 S. E. Rep. 853.

The court reasoned that since the company was in actual control of the business, B being so hampered and restricted as to have left little independence, and since it was apparent that the contract was drawn up under the form of a lease to preserve the company from liability for the acts of its nominal lessee, the lumber company must be held as a matter of law to be the principal. Its liabilities were by the assignment transferred to the defendant McIntyre. The name which parties give to a contract will not finally determine its legal effect, Arbuckle v. Kirkpatrick (1897), 98 Tenn. 221, 39 S. W. 3, 36 L. R. A. 285, 60 Am. St. Rep. 854; Mechem on Sales, secs. 41-49. The court also held that the evidence of the relation being undisputed, the question whether agency exists, is one for the court. Gulick v. Grover, 33 N. J. L. 463. 97 Am. Dec. 728.

BANKRUPTCY—DISCHARGE—JUDGMENT RECOVERED FOR ALIENATION OF AFFECTIONS.—A wife obtained judgment against another woman for the alienation of the affections of her husband. The judgment debtor was subsequently discharged in bankruptcy. She contended that this judgment was discharged by the bankruptcy proceedings, and brings this action to have it cancelled. Held, that the injuries arising from the tort were willful and malicious, and are to the person and property of another within the meaning of sec. 17 of Bankruptcy Law of 1898. Leicester v. Hoadley (1903), — Kans.—,71 Pac. Rep. 318.

Sec. 17 of the Bankruptcy Law provides that "a discharge in bankruptcy shall release a bankrupt from all his provable debts except such as . . . (2) are judgments for frauds, or obtaining money under false representations, or for willful and malicious injuries to the person or property of another." The questions before the courts were: (1) Was this a willful and malicious act, and (2) was it against the person or property of another? The court answered both of these questions in the affirmative. The construction of this section of the Bankruptcy Act has not been uniform. In In re McCauley, 101 Fed. Rep. 223, a judgment against a seducer for breach of contract of marriage was discharged by bankruptcy, while in Inre Maples, 105 Fed. Rep. 919, and in In re Freche, 109 Fed. Rep. 620, 6 Am. Bankr. Rep. 479, a judgment for damages for seduction was not discharged. So in a judgment for